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#### Abstract

We investigate the Lipschitz continuity of the best approximation operator from a Hilbert (Banach) space into an approximatively compact subset. We study the notion of directional radius of curvature and show how the Lipschitz continuity of the metric projection depends on it.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a set in a Hilbert space $H$. We define the metric projection $P$ by $P(x)=\left\{y \mid y \in M\right.$ and $\left.\inf _{m \in M}\|x-m\|=\|x-y\|\right\}$ where $x$ is any element of $H$.

In our discussion we shall assume that $M$ is an approximatively compact set which insures that $P(x)$ is not empty.

If $M$ is a closed convex set then it is well known that each $P(x)$ is a singleton and $\|P(y)-P(x)\| \leqslant\|y-x\|$. When $M$ is a $C^{2}$-approximatively compact manifold, Jerry Wolfe in [6] has proved that $P$ is a singleton, differentiable in an open dense subset $A(A \cap M=\varnothing)$ of $H$ and the present author has shown in [1] that $\left\|P^{\prime}(x)\right\|=\rho /(\rho-r)$ in $A$ where $r=\|x-P(x)\|$ and $\rho$ is the radius of curvature of $M$ at $P(x)$ in the direction $x-P(x)$.

If $M$ is a closed subset in $R^{n}$, Federer in [3] has proved that under suitable conditions, $P$ is a singleton and $\|P(y)-P(x)\| \leqslant q[/(q-r)]\|x-y\|$, where $q \leqslant \omega(P(y))$ and $\omega$ is the reach; see definition 11-13 in [4].

In this paper we give best estimates for

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)-P(x)\|}{\|y-x\|}
$$

in various settings.

## 2. Definitions

A subset $M$ of a Banach space $B$ is called approximatively compact if, for each $x \in B$ and each sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\} \subset M$ such that $\left\|x-m_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ $\inf _{m \in M}\|x-m\|^{\prime}$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{m_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converging to a point of $M$.

## 3. Radius of Curvature

Let $x$ be in a Banach space $B$ and $m$ be a closest point to $x$ from $M(x)$. Also let $v=(x-m) /\|x-m\|$.

We consider the line $m+t v, t \in R$, and points $\mu \in M$ close to $m$ such that

$$
|t|=\|m+t v-\mu\|
$$

for some $t,-\infty<t<\infty$. If the above equation holds for no finite $t$, set $t=\infty$. We now define the directional radius of curvature of $M$ at $m$ in the direction $v, \rho(m, v)$, by

$$
\rho(m, v)=\lim _{\epsilon \downarrow 0} t_{\epsilon} \text { where } t_{\epsilon}=\left[\sup _{0<\|\mu-m\|<\epsilon}\left\{\frac{1}{t}\|t \mid=\| m-\mu+t v \|\right\}\right]^{-1}
$$

It can be shown, see [1], that if $M$ is a $C^{2}$ manifold in a Hilbert space $H$ and if $M$ is locally represented by $f$ around $m$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\rho(m, v)}=\max _{\|w\|=1} \frac{\langle A w, w\rangle}{\langle\bar{B} w, w\rangle}
$$

where

$$
m=f(a), B=f^{\prime}(a)^{T} f^{\prime}(a), A=\left(\left\langle v, \frac{\partial^{2} f(a)}{\partial t_{i} \partial t_{j}}\right\rangle\right)
$$

The lemma below, which is a generalization of Theorem 11-8 in [4], illustrates the geometric significance of $\rho(m, v)$. For convex sets $M, \rho(m, v) \leqslant 0$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a closed set in a Banach space $B, x \in B$ and $m \in P(x)$, $m \neq x$. Let $0<\delta<|\rho(m, v)|$, and set $y=m+(\rho(m, v)-\delta) v$. Then there exists $\epsilon_{\delta}>0$ and an open ball $B\left(m, \epsilon_{\delta}\right)$ such that:
(a) If $\rho(m, v)>0$ and $m^{\prime} \in M \cap B\left(m, \epsilon_{\delta}\right)$, then $\|y-m\| \leqslant\left\|y-m^{\prime}\right\|$.
(b) If $\rho(m, v)<0$, then $\left\|y-m^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant\|y-m\|$ for all $m^{\prime}$ in $M \cap$ $B\left(m, \epsilon_{\delta}\right)$.

Proof. (a) Suppose not. Then for $n=1,2, \ldots$ there is an $m_{n} \neq m$ in $M \cap B(m, 1 / n)$ such that

$$
\|y-m\|>\left\|y-m_{n}\right\| .
$$

Choose $a_{n}>0$, so that $\left\|y-a_{n} v-m\right\|=\left\|y-a_{n} v-m_{n}\right\|$. Substituting for $y$ and setting $\rho=\rho(m, v)$, we get

$$
\left|\rho-\delta-a_{n}\right|=\left\|m-m_{n}+\left(\rho-\delta-a_{n}\right) v\right\| .
$$

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 /\left(\rho-\delta-a_{n}\right) \geqslant 1 /(\rho-\delta)>1 / \rho$, contradicting the definition of $\rho$.
(b) Suppose not. Then, for $n=1,2, \ldots$, there is an $m_{n} \neq m$ in $M \cap B(m, 1 / n)$ such that $\|y-m\|<\left\|y-m_{n}\right\|$. By definition, $\rho=$ $\rho(m, v) \leqslant \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}<0$ where

$$
\left|t_{n}\right|=\left\|m-m_{n}^{\prime}+t_{n} v\right\| .
$$

Then clearly $\rho-\delta \leqslant t_{n} \leqslant 0$ for $n$ sufficiently large and $\|y-m\|=$ $|\rho-\delta|=\left|\rho-\delta-t_{n}\right|+\left|t_{n}\right| \geqslant\left\|\left(\rho-\delta-t_{n}\right) v\right\|+\left\|\left(m-m_{n}\right)+t_{n} v\right\| \geqslant$ $\left\|\left(m-m_{n}\right)+(\rho-\delta) v\right\|=\left\|y-m_{n}\right\|$, a contradiction.

## 4. The Pointwise Lipschitz Continuity of the Metric Projection

We shall need the following lemma [5, p. 388].
Lemma 4.1. Let $M$ be an approximatively compact subset of a Banach space $B$. Suppose $x \in B$ has $m$ as a unique closest point from $M$ and let $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence converging to $x$ and $\left\{m_{k}\right\}$ a corresponding sequence of closest points in $M$. Then $m_{k} \rightarrow m$.

The following theorem establishes the pointwise Lipschitz continuity of the metric projection in terms of the radius of curvature.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ be an approximatively compact set in a Hilbert space $H$. Suppose $x \in H, x \notin M$, has $m$ as a unique closest point from $M$. Assume $\rho(m, x-m /\|x-m\|)=\rho \neq\|x-m\|=r$. Then if $m_{y} \in P(y)$, we have

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\left\|m_{y}-m\right\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant \frac{2 \rho}{\rho-r}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $m=0$ and $\rho>0$. (The case $\rho<0$ can be treated similarly and the case $\rho=0$ can be reduced to that of $\rho>0)$. Since $m=0, v=(x-m) /\|x-m\|=x / r$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, for any $\delta>0,0<\delta<\rho$, if $y$ is sufficiently close to $x$, we have

$$
|\rho-\delta| \leqslant\left\|(\rho-\delta) v-m_{y}\right\|
$$

and so

$$
(\rho-\delta)^{2} \leqslant(\rho-\delta)^{2}-2 \frac{\rho-\delta}{r}\left\langle x, m_{y}\right\rangle+\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{\rho-\delta}{r}\left\langle x, m_{y}\right\rangle \leqslant\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also clear that $\left\|y-m_{y}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|y\|^{2}$, from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2\left\langle y, m_{y}\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write (2) as $\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2\left\langle y-x, m_{y}\right\rangle+2\left\langle x, m_{y}\right\rangle$. We substitute (1) into this to obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2\left\langle y-x, m_{y}\right\rangle+\frac{r}{\rho-\delta}\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2}, \\
\frac{\rho-\delta-r}{\rho-\delta}\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2\left\langle y-x, m_{y}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 3.2 in [2], $r<\rho$, so that if $\delta$ is sufficiently small, then $\rho-\delta-r>0$. Now inequality (3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|m_{y}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{2(\rho-\delta)}{\rho-\delta-r}\|y-x\|\left\|m_{y}\right\| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we get

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\left\|m_{y}\right\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant 2 \frac{\rho-\delta}{\rho-\delta-r}
$$

and hence

$$
\overline{\lim }_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\left\|m_{y}\right\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant \frac{2 \rho}{\rho-r} .
$$

Example. Let $H$ be Euclidean 2-space and $M=\left\{e^{i \theta} \mid \pi \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi\right\} \cup$ $\left\{e^{i \theta_{n}} \mid n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$, where $0<\cdots<\theta_{n+1}<\theta_{n}<\cdots<\theta_{1}<\pi, \theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta_{n+1} / \theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Then $M$ is approximatively compact. Let $x=1-r$ with $0<r<1$. It is clear that 1 is the closest point to $x$ in $M$. Also $\rho(1,-1)=1$. Consider

$$
y_{n}=(1-r) \cos \left(\frac{\theta_{n}+\theta_{n+1}}{2}\right) e^{i}\left(\frac{\theta_{n}+\theta_{n+1}}{2}\right), n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Then $\rho e^{i \theta_{n}}$ and $\rho e^{i \theta_{n+1}}$. are the closest points in $M$ to $y_{n}$. Computation gives

$$
\left|x-y_{n}\right|=(1-r) \sin \left(\frac{\theta_{n}+\theta_{n+1}}{2}\right) \text { and }\left|1-e^{i \theta_{n}}\right|=2 \sin \frac{\theta_{n}}{2}
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|1-e^{i \theta_{n}}\right|}{\left|x-y_{n}\right|}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \sin \left(\theta_{n} / 2\right)}{(1-r) \sin \left(\left(\theta_{n}+\theta_{n+1}\right) / 2\right)}=\frac{2}{1-r}
$$

This example shows the sharpness of Theorem 4.1. We now obtain a related result for closed convex sets which is sharper. It should be remarked that for such sets $\rho \leqslant 0$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $M$ be a closed convex set in a Hilbert space H. Then for $x \notin M$,

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)-P(x)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant \frac{2 \rho}{2 \rho-r}
$$

where $\rho=\rho(P(x),(x-P(x)) / r)$ and $r=\|x-P(x)\|$.
Proof. We may assume $P(x)=0$. It follows from Lemma 3.1(b) that for every $\delta>0$ there exists $\epsilon(\delta)>0$ such that if $\|P(y)\|<\epsilon(\delta)$, then

$$
\left\|(\rho-\delta) \frac{x}{r}-P(y)\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|(\rho-\delta) \frac{x}{r}\right\|^{2}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, P(y)\rangle \leqslant \frac{r}{2(\rho-\delta)}\|P(y)\|^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by convexity of $M$ we have $\langle y-P(y),-P(y)\rangle \leqslant 0$ which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P(y)\|^{2} \leqslant\langle y, P(y)\rangle=\langle y-x, P(y)\rangle+\langle x, P(y)\rangle . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain, using Schwarz's inequality,

$$
\frac{\|P(y)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant \frac{2(\rho-\delta)}{2(\rho-\delta)-r} .
$$

Hence

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant \frac{2(\rho-\delta)}{2(\rho-\delta)-r}
$$

and therefore

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant \frac{2 \rho}{2 \rho-r}
$$

Example. Let $H$ be as in the previous example and let $M$ be the convex set whose boundary is the union of the semicircle $e^{i \theta}, \pi \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi$ and the polygon whose vertices are $e^{i \theta_{n}}$ where $0 \leqslant \cdots<\theta_{n}<\cdots<\theta_{2}<\theta_{1}<\pi$, $\theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta_{n+1} / \theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Let $v_{n}=e^{i \theta_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots ; x=1+r$. Let $r>0$, $x=(1+r, 0)$. Set

$$
y_{n}=\frac{\left\langle x-v_{n}, v_{n}+v_{n+1}\right\rangle}{\left\|v_{n}+v_{n+1}\right\|^{2}}\left(v_{n}+v_{n+1}\right)+v_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Then, for $n=1,2, \ldots, P\left(y_{n}\right)=v_{n}$. It can be shown that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|P\left(y_{n}\right)-P(x)\right\|}{\left\|y_{n}-x\right\|}=\frac{2}{2+r}
$$

Observe that here $\rho(P(x), x-P(x))=-1$. We now extend Theorem 4.1 to $n$-dimensional $C^{1}$ manifolds in Banach spaces.

We make the following assumptions about the $C^{1}$ manifold $M$ lying in a Banach space $B$.
(1) $M$ can be represented locally by a $C^{1}$ function $f$.
(2) $f$ is a relatively open map in its domain of definition.
(3) $f^{\prime}(a)\left(R^{n}\right)$ is an $n$-dimensional subspace of $B$.
(4) Letting $m=f(a)$ we define the tangent plane of $M$ at $m$ to be $T_{m} \equiv m+f^{\prime}(a)\left(R^{n}\right)$.

TheOREM 4.3. Let $M$ be a $C^{1}$ approximatively compact $n$-dimensional manifold in a Banach space $B$ whose norm is uniformly $C^{2}$ except at zero. Assume $x \in B, x \notin M$ and that $P$ is a singleton in $a B$ neighborhood of $x$ (and hence continuous at $x$ ). Assume also that $\nabla^{2}\|x-P(x)\|$ is positive definite on the tangent space of $M$ at $P(x)$ and $\rho=\rho(P(x),(x-P(x)) / r) \neq$ $\|x-P(x)\|=r$. Then

$$
\overline{\lim }_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)-P(x)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant C(x) \frac{\rho}{\rho-r}
$$

where $C(x)$ is a positive constant depending on $x$.
Proof. By a translation we can assume $P(x)=0$. Let $\rho=\rho(0, x / r)$ and assume $\rho>0$ (the proof for $\rho \leqslant 0$ is similar). By Lemma 3.1 , for any $\delta$, $0<\delta<\rho$, and for $y$ sufficiently close to $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho-\delta \leqslant\left\|(\rho-\delta) \frac{x}{r}-P(y)\right\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, trivially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y-P(y)\| \leqslant\|y\| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the first 3 terms of the Taylor expansion of the norm in (1) to obtain

$$
\rho-\delta \leqslant(\rho-\delta)-\nabla\|x\|(P(y))+\frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{\rho-\delta} \nabla^{2}\|x\|(P(y))^{(2)}+o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right)
$$

and we do the same in (2) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|-\nabla\|y\|(P(y))+\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\|y\|(P(y))^{(2)}+o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right) \leqslant\|y\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (3) and (4) are equivalent, respectively, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\|x\|(P(y)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{\rho-\delta} \nabla^{2}\|x\|(P(y))^{(2)}+o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\|y\|(P(y))^{(2)} \leqslant \nabla\|y\|(P(y))+o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5) and (6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\|y\|(P(y))^{(2)} \leqslant & (\nabla\|y\|-\nabla\|x\|)(P(y))+\frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{\rho-\delta} \nabla^{2}\|x\|(P(y))^{(2)} \\
& +o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{r}{\rho-\delta}\right) \nabla^{2}\|x\|(P(y))^{(2)} \leqslant(\nabla\|y\|-\nabla\|x\|)(P(y))+o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

because as $y \rightarrow x, P(y) \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(\nabla^{2}\|y\|-\nabla^{2}\|x\|\right)(P(y))^{(2)}=o\left(\|P(y)\|^{2}\right)$. Now $\varliminf_{y \rightarrow x}\left[\nabla^{2}\|x\|(P(y))^{(2)}\right] /\|P(y)\|^{2} \geqslant C>0$ by our hypothesis on $\nabla^{2}$. Also $|(\nabla\|y\|-\nabla\|x\|) P(y)| \leqslant k\|y-x\|\|P(y)\|$ where

$$
k=\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1}\left\|\nabla^{2}\right\| t x+(1-t) y\| \|
$$

So by (7)

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant k^{\prime} \frac{\rho-\delta}{\rho-\delta-r}
$$

where $k^{\prime}>0$. Hence

$$
\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|P(y)\|}{\|y-x\|} \leqslant k^{\prime} \frac{\rho}{\rho-r}
$$
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